

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

Royal Courts of Justice

Monday, 25th July 2016

1

2

3

Before:

4

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE

BETWEEN :

5

HORTON

Applicant

6

7

- and -

8

9

(1) SIS

10

(2) MI5

11

(3) GCHQ

Respondents

12

13

14

15

16

*Transcribed by **BEVERLEY F. NUNNERY & CO.***

17

(a trading name of Opus 2 International Limited)

18

Official Court Reporters and Audio Transcribers

19

25 Southampton Buildings, London WC2A 1AL

20

Tel: 020 7831 5627 Fax: 020 7831 7737

21

info@beverleynunnery.com

22

23

24

25

26

THE APPLICANT appeared in Person.

27

28

MR. GREEN (instructed by the Government Legal Department) appeared on behalf of the

29

Respondents.

30

31

32

33

34

PROCEEDINGS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

INDEX

Page No.

SUBMISSIONS

Dr. HORTON	1
Mr. GREEN	23
Dr. HORTON	26



1 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Good morning.

2

3 DR. HORTON: Morning, my Lord. I appear for the third time in front of the High
4 Court in a very, very complex case. The situation is that I'm, I'm seeking an
5 emergency injunction against the British intelligence services.

6

7 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I understand. Yes.

8

9 DR. HORTON: The situation has been, so far, that I have already applied to the
10 IPT, the Investigatory Powers Tribunal. That application has been, well, it was
11 done in quite an emergency because I was being attacked with electromagnetic
12 weapons. That application has been declined or complaint has been declined
13 as frivolous or vexatious according to the rules of the IPT. Shortly thereafter I
14 experienced an assassination attempt.

15

16 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: When you were on the motorway?

17

18 DR. HORTON: That's right, yes. This is why I appear in front of the High Court.
19 I, I feel I have already exhausted all the possibilities at the IPT. I don't think
20 they're suitable for an emergency injunction. They do not look into the case in
21 detail. Their procedures are far too slow.

22

23 So last time I was---- So there was the first hearing here in the High Court. I
24 asked already for a time extension and Justice Spencer granted an extra six
25 weeks and that should have been the hearing today. However, what has
26 happened over the last six weeks is that the attacks on me have grown
27 extremely severe. I would call my, my home, well, a veritable human
28 microwave by now, and not just that, a completely new mode of attack has
29 been added, which is a very insidious form of attack, of these electromagnetic
30 weapons, which is targeting the brain directly in a way that can, is military
31 technology, but is, by now, in the public domain. But what it actually does is
32 that it attacks human beings on their most fundamental level. Now, the----

33

34 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Physically?

35

36 DR. HORTON: Physically. Physically and mentally. So, in my experience, and I
37 tried to actually measure that. Well, I'm a particle physicist, I've got a PhD
38 from Oxford and I'm usually very, very fast and very well organised, but what
39 I've found over the last six weeks is that I was severely impaired, but only in
40 my own home, so not outside, and what has happened is that my, my whole
41 way of thinking has been slowed down and I had bouts of extreme anxiety,
42 which is probably understandable, given that I'm suing MI6, but, on top of
43 that, it was absolutely paralysing and it was only when I actually did a

1 scientific test myself, by going downstairs into the nuclear bunker that every
2 Swiss home has to have by law, that I discovered that, downstairs, I was
3 completely unaffected, whereas, in my home, my brain was being interfered
4 with.
5

6 So what I have done---- This sort of brain interference has been developed in
7 the 1970s, has been known about for decades, but now can be measured and
8 what I have done, well, on the day I was due to serve the evidence, the, the
9 weeks before then had been terrible and on the day I was actually due to send
10 the evidence the attacks were literally crippling. I've got a high frequency
11 measuring device and what I have submitted to the respondents----
12

13 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Can we just look to see what papers I have?
14

15 DR. HORTON: Sure.
16

17 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I have one bundle with an application notice----
18

19 DR. HORTON: That's right.
20

21 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- and it has an index like this.
22

23 DR. HORTON: That's right. That was the second hearing, my Lord.
24

25 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: That is what I guessed.
26

27 DR. HORTON: Yes. So the big bundle----
28

29 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: And then I have another bundle which looks like that.
30

31 DR. HORTON: That's today's. That's today's.
32

33 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: And I imagine Mr. Green has that as well.
34

35 MR. GREEN: That is from today?
36

37 DR. HORTON: Yes, that's today.
38

39 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. Thank you. And I have your skeleton which you
40 prepared for Mr. Justice Edis on 16th June, for which many thanks, and I also
41 have the respondents' skeleton argument. No criticism, but I do not think it is
42 dated. When did it go in?
43

1 MR. GREEN: It went in, I think, the week before.
2

3 DR. HORTON: Yes.
4

5 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: The week before Edis J?
6

7 MR. GREEN: Correct.
8

9 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Right. That gives me early June, so that gives me a
10 rough idea. I just want to understand one or two things. I have read what you
11 put, in early May, before the IPT and I have seen a response from them
12 towards the end of May. Can I just ask, as I understand it, there is no right of
13 appeal in relation to decisions of the IPT or----
14

15 DR. HORTON: I don't believe so. I think that it's in their statement----
16

17 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Or is there?
18

19 MR. GREEN: That is correct, yes, and just for the avoidance of doubt, although the
20 letter of 23rd May predates, by quite a long time, my skeleton, we were not
21 aware until June as to what the response of the IPT was.
22

23 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: So anybody who is dissatisfied with a decision of the
24 IPT, what is their remedy?
25

26 MR. GREEN: There is no----
27

28 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Judicial review, I suppose?
29

30 MR. GREEN: Yes, if anything.
31

32 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: If anything. But there is no right of appeal, with or
33 without leave to the Court of Appeal?
34

35 MR. GREEN: Correct.
36

37 DR. HORTON: So, just to clarify, I had already approached the court before I even
38 got the decision from the IPT.
39

40 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes, I do understand. I have that read that, yes.
41

42 DR. HORTON: And I, I approached them exactly because I, I feared for my life.
43

1 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: So what, today, you are asking for is an injunction----

2

3 DR. HORTON: That's right. As----

4

5 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- and, at the moment, just so I catch up with you,
6 please, there is actually a claim, is there? Has a claim been issued?

7

8 DR. HORTON: So this is the situation, because I represent myself, I think I
9 misunderstood the procedure of the court and I----

10

11 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I am only asking----

12

13 DR. HORTON: Yes, and I, as I understand it now, and please correct me, to, to
14 issue a claim I actually have to give the court something, like particulars of the
15 claim, a document like that?

16

17 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: That is the normal idea----

18

19 DR. HORTON: Yes.

20

21 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- so one has a rough idea as to what is going on.

22

23 DR. HORTON: Yes. And so I guess what I would like to ask the court, because I,
24 the, the complete procedures are the preparations have been sabotaged from
25 day one and what the court actually has is past documents I want to serve
26 because this is, essentially all that's in the folder is 99 per cent just evidence
27 about the general situation of technology and so on and the global field.

28

29 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Do you have a draft order somewhere of exactly what
30 you are asking me to order?

31

32 DR. HORTON: Sorry, the draft orders that the----

33

34 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I am sure it goes somewhere, I just wanted----

35

36 DR. HORTON: I don't believe I know what a draft order is. I guess what I'm----

37

38 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I mean what you are asking the court to----

39

40 DR. HORTON: So what I'm asking the court----

41

42 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- tell the defendants not to do or do.

43

1 MR. GREEN: I do not think there was a draft order.
2

3 DR. HORTON: Oh. Sorry. Okay. It's one of those formalities, I haven't----
4

5 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Normally, that helps us to focus on----
6

7 DR. HORTON: Absolutely.
8

9 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. So there is no draft order. Right.
10

11 DR. HORTON: So, I guess, to, to make it as simple as possible, what I overall ask
12 the respondents to do is cease and desist, so stop the, the continuous
13 harassment, harassment being stalking of their agents and vast informant
14 networks. I also request to be taken off what I suspect is certainly a
15 surveillance list, if not even a harassment and potentially even a kill list and a
16 list for medical experimentation. I do have reason to believe that these lists
17 exist.
18

19 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Let us just get the list of what we are talking about first
20 of all.
21

22 DR. HORTON: Okay.
23

24 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: So what about the electromagnetic----
25

26 DR. HORTON: The physical attacks as well, that's right. So that, I, I request, well,
27 as soon as possible, that they, they cease. I also have reason to believe that it
28 might not just be direct electromagnetic attacks. I, I---- There's every
29 possibility that I've also been infected or been given a dose of nanoparticles
30 that might still be in my body. As incredible as that might sound, there are lots
31 of people who have proven that to be the case, and I also supplied some
32 documents of examples of such nanoparticles as well as magnetically active
33 proteins which are now being tested by the medical community.
34

35 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: So what happened on 16th June was that this matter
36 was adjourned for a one-hour hearing. It was not actually listed today, I was
37 not aware of this coming on until someone very kindly gave me some---- Do
38 we know why it has not been listed?
39

40 MR. GREEN: I spoke to Sarah about that. We are not sure why. It may be because
41 the order did say that Dr. Horton needed to put in evidence----
42

43 DR. HORTON: Yes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

MR. GREEN: -- and she did not do that.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Then what is this bundle I have here then?

MR. GREEN: That only came today.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Today. Okay. Now I have caught up with you both. Okay. Thank you.

MR. GREEN: And the other reason, it was not in the order, but Dr. Horton will agree, is that Dr. Horton asked for some extra time also to be able to instruct solicitors. That was one of the other reasons for the request.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Sorry? That was a request made before Mr. Justice Edis, was it?

MR. GREEN: Yes. And one of his reasons for adjourning it----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Was to enable the claimant to obtain legal advice?

MR. GREEN: Correct, yes.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: (To Dr. Horton) Have you done that?

DR. HORTON: I tried, but it was not possible. So----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Why not?

DR. HORTON: What, what Mr., what Justice Edis suggested is that I contact the Bar Pro Bono Unit, but they do not have capacity and my experience----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Within the timescale?

DR. HORTON: Yes, and also, overall, my, my case was rejected. And I have contacted----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Sorry, I did not hear the end of that.

DR. HORTON: My case was completely rejected and just----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: By?

1 DR. HORTON: By the Bar Pro Bono Unit.

2

3 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Why?

4

5 DR. HORTON: Well----

6

7 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: They must have given a reason.

8

9 DR. HORTON: I think they, they said that their resources are very stretched.

10

11 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Was that the only reason?

12

13 DR. HORTON: That's what I remember, but I can look up and provide the actual
14 email, but my experience aside, before I contacted the Bar Pro Bono Unit, I
15 have tried getting the help of lawyers, not just in the UK, but also in Germany,
16 as well as Switzerland, and my experience was that, as soon as they heard that
17 I am actually going up against an intelligence agency, they did not want to
18 have anything to do with the case.

19

20 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Okay. Shall we just look to see the material you have
21 put before----

22

23 DR. HORTON: Yes.

24

25 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- the court today?

26

27 DR. HORTON: So what I would like to explain is, so this, this is half the evidence
28 that has not been served----

29

30 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Let us look at what we have to start off with.

31

32 DR. HORTON: Okay. So what we, what I have managed to serve so far is,
33 essentially, the, everything, everything to do with the evidence for cases like
34 that happening at all and the technology existing.

35

36 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Well, that is what I want to understand because that is
37 the impression I am getting, but I want to make sure I have not misunderstood.

38

39 DR. HORTON: Yes.

40

41 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: So we will just go through it together, shall we?

42

43 DR. HORTON: Okay. Sure.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Tab 1 is the material sent to----

DR. HORTON: That's right, and----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- the High Court originally.

DR. HORTON: And what is very important in tab 1, if I may just explain, is----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes.

DR. HORTON: -- essentially, the last document, which is an email sent on the 7th.
This is p.19 I am looking at.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. Thank you.

DR. HORTON: And that was basically the minute before the deadline expired.
That was the document----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Just tell me what it is.

DR. HORTON: It is an email where I asked to be granted a time extension----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes, indeed.

DR. HORTON: -- to serve the evidence.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. Well, let us just look at what the evidence is
insofar as you have been able to prepare it for today.

DR. HORTON: Okay. So the evidence, part of the evidence for the attacks
happening already can be found in that email and----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Show me.

DR. HORTON: It is p.22.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Thank you.

DR. HORTON: So those are images I have captured from my high frequency
devices. These images can be found on pp.25 and following and what----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. What do they tell me?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

DR. HORTON: -- what---- So what they, they actually display can be found in tab 2. There's one big image in tab 2.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes, indeed. I have that. Thank you.

DR. HORTON: And, basically, the measuring device, it's a calibrated scientific measuring device for high frequencies, measuring in the band, as can be seen in the middle of the page, from 0 to 1 gigahertz. The intensity that is measured is displayed at the top, in the dial and also as a, as a number, but what is even more important is the actual----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: What is it measuring?

DR. HORTON: So what it's measuring is essentially----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: That is taken in your home, is it?

DR. HORTON: That is taken in my home and the, to understand the measurement it's important to look into the middle. What it shows is, essentially, the frequency region, regime is 0 to 1 gigahertz and little, I think it's something like, well, 50 or something like 10 megahertz bands.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes.

DR. HORTON: So what the court can see is, essentially, the distribution of frequencies that has been broadcast into my home.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: So what does minus 67 mean?

DR. HORTON: Minus 67 is the amplitude and the way they measure it, so it's a minus 67----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Are you trying to measure the frequency of what is coming----

DR. HORTON: The frequency as well as the intensity of radiation in my home.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: So where is the frequency? Is that the megahertz, 422?

DR. HORTON: Yes, exactly, 422, and it's actually 422.0. So----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. I think we can call it 422.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

DR. HORTON: Yeah. The measurement----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: And the intensity is the top left. So what does that tell me then?

DR. HORTON: So what it tells, tells us is that---- So the 422 are being measured at the very large vertical bar, what I named the 'measurement cursor.' So this measuring device functions in a way that one can scan this band from zero to 1 gigahertz.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: That is a very broad band, is it not?

DR. HORTON: Exactly, but the actual measurement happens----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: So what does 422 tell me?

DR. HORTON: It tells the frequency of 422----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. But what sort of frequency is it?

DR. HORTON: Well, 422 megahertz is a frequency that does not occur naturally and should never be in a home.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Is it good or bad?

DR. HORTON: It is very bad.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Why?

DR. HORTON: Because----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Is it low frequency?

DR. HORTON: Pardon?

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Is it low frequency?

DR. HORTON: Well, it's, it's---- Well, it's a relatively---- Well, it depends what one talks about. It's, it's---- The mobile phone communication is between, I think, 800 up to 2.5 gigahertz, so 800 megahertz, twice as much, but there are very, very low frequencies down to zero, but the importance of this frequency

1 can be seen in---- Excuse me. Let me just find it. It's under experts---- Yes,
2 it's tab, it is tab 4.
3

4 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Thank you.
5

6 DR. HORTON: The very first document. This---- And this explains why it's such
7 an important----
8

9 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Will this help me understand 422, will it?
10

11 DR. HORTON: Yes, absolutely.
12

13 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Now, where do I go to?
14

15 DR. HORTON: So this, what this document is, it's a three or four-page document
16 and this is the report from a scientist, a government scientist in the US who has
17 worked for the----
18

19 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Is this the first document?
20

21 DR. HORTON: That's right. The one with the photograph in the middle.
22

23 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes, Dr. Batcho.
24

25 DR. HORTON: That's right.
26

27 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Thank you.
28

29 DR. HORTON: And he, he worked for the, for DARPA, so the Defence Advance
30 Research Projects Agency in the US, which is their weapons research. He is a
31 whistle-blower who has come forward, as can be seen on the date stamp, in
32 April of this year, and what he says is that civilians are being attacked with a
33 known technology and what he says specifically on----
34

35 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I see it is really p.48, is it not, and the passage you
36 have highlighted in pink?
37

38 DR. HORTON: Yes, 48 says---- That's right. So he says cellular transmissions are
39 broadcast at 853 megahertz, however, human brain resonance is centred
40 around 450 megahertz and he says----
41

42 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Does he say where these signals are being emitted
43 from?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

DR. HORTON: Yes. I think, later on, he indicates that these are being emitted in the US from cell towers.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: From cell towers?

DR. HORTON: Cell, cell towers, so mobile phone towers.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Exactly. So you could get this sort of frequency from the technology which is operated for mobile phones.

DR. HORTON: Yes. However----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: It might be nothing to do with a security organisation.

DR. HORTON: No, I think this is a---- No, this cannot be concluded. What he's saying, this is the situation in the US, whereby he does not say these are frequency bands used for mobile phone communication, in fact the sentence which I was about to read out, he says ham radios, so these are private radio operators, are not allowed to broadcast----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I read that.

DR. HORTON: -- and he is specifically referring to the 400 to 700 megahertz band because that is known to interfere with human brains. Now, the situation is that----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Okay.

DR. HORTON: -- civilians have reported these frequencies. He says specifically:

“The verified measurement in existence of these RF bands transmissions constitutes a terrorist act.”

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I read that, yes.

DR. HORTON: And the reason why he says that, he, he, well, it is certainly technically possible for mobile phone towers to emit these frequencies, but that they do----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Can we look at the end of his document, p.49?

DR. HORTON: Yes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: He says:

“Please investigate the transmission of the various frequency signals in the 450 megahertz range.”

Okay?

DR. HORTON: Yes.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Who is this addressed to?

DR. HORTON: So he’s addressing that, I think his, this is on p.48----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: To the Homeland----

DR. HORTON: -- attention----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: To the Telecommunication Security Office----

DR. HORTON: No. I----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Well, it is at the foot of p.47, is it not?

DR. HORTON: So I think there’s a, because there were several emails by him printed, this, I’m looking at p.48, the middle of the page, it says:

“Attention: DARPA Security and Intelligence.”

So it’s that document----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I see.

DR. HORTON: Yeah.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: In fact, the answer to my question is at p.45. His concern relates to acts of terrorism against the general public through emission of dangerous frequencies from cell phone and microwave towers.

DR. HORTON: Yes.

1 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: So my question to you a moment ago was whether he
2 was relating anything connected with security services in the United States
3 and, as such, the answer is no.
4

5 DR. HORTON: Well, he is not in the, in the US case, that's right.
6

7 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: No. Okay.
8

9 DR. HORTON: Where, whereby one should say that----
10

11 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Okay. So is there anything else in tab 4----
12

13 DR. HORTON: Yes. In tab 4----
14

15 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- which affects you personally.
16

17 DR. HORTON: Yes. There is---- The next document is a, is a document prepared
18 by the British ex-agent, Dr. Barrie Trower.
19

20 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Page?
21

22 DR. HORTON: Page 53.
23

24 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Thank you.
25

26 DR. HORTON: And what he---- This is a document that he has prepared for a
27 court civil action in the United States, but this document I've included because
28 he basically, he has worked on microwaves specifically and, in this document,
29 he outlines just how damaging they are.
30

31 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: The effects of microwaves on human tissue.
32

33 DR. HORTON: Exactly, the effects of microwaves.
34

35 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes.
36

37 DR. HORTON: Yeah. And then the real----
38

39 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: And he refers to leukaemia and so on.
40

41 DR. HORTON: Exactly. And then the really important document is----
42

1 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: So, presumably, when someone is harmed by waves,
2 frequencies of this nature, as you said earlier on, there are physical effects.
3

4 DR. HORTON: Which---- Alarming effects, yes.
5

6 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Is that right?
7

8 DR. HORTON: They're short term effects and long term effects.
9

10 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Which could be detected by a doctor?
11

12 DR. HORTON: Well, long term effects, cancer, tumour formation, takes years, but,
13 but short term, yes. Short term, they can be detected.
14

15 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Is there any medical evidence in this case?
16

17 DR. HORTON: Yes, there is.
18

19 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Concerning you?
20

21 DR. HORTON: Yes, in the sense that medical evidence----
22

23 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: From a doctor?
24

25 DR. HORTON: No, because, I, I---- Not from a doctor because my harassment and
26 also the irradiation has been to such a level that, so far, there are no lasting
27 signs.
28

29 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Not even transient effects?
30

31 DR. HORTON: Transient effects, there has been bruising. So what I want to----
32 So what's missing today is all the evidence that pertains to me personally
33 because that is another bundle.
34

35 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: That is the impression I am getting in going through
36 this very well put together bundle. It seems to be drawing the court's attention
37 to material, for example, at tab 3, which is not actually concerned with your
38 own particular case.
39

40 DR. HORTON: Well---- So----
41

42 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Is that right?
43

1 DR. HORTON: That's right. So, apart from, from the measurements in tab 2 and
2 tab 1, they are my particular case, and that is because I have to say,
3 investigating this, I am a, I have got a PhD in particle physics, but
4 investigating what's even happening, because this is classified military
5 technology, is extremely hard. So I'm relying on----
6

7 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Sorry? What is classified military----
8

9 DR. HORTON: The electromagnetic weapons are mostly still classified.
10

11 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: The weapons, yes.
12

13 DR. HORTON: Yes, the weapons, and also the use of the intelligence agencies. So,
14 to, you know, to basically complete the picture, what has happened is that, so
15 far, I've managed to do all the background research to accumulate proof that
16 (a) this technology exists, (b) this technology is being used against civilians,
17 what the effects are, how many civilians are affected.
18

19 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: So where do we see a document that this technology is
20 being used by, for example, a security agency, against civilians?
21

22 DR. HORTON: That, that can be found on page, starting at p.81.
23

24 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Thank you.
25

26 DR. HORTON: And this is---- What this is, so p.79, the page before, shows the
27 origin of, well, the publication of that document, which is the Covert
28 Harassment Conference 2015, and it also shows the author, the photograph,
29 that's Carl Clark, who is an MI6 whistle-blower, and he came, he basically
30 went public in 2009 about precisely what your Lordship was asking, and this is
31 that the British, not just British intelligence, but that the European intelligence
32 services are using microwave weapons, electromagnetic weapons, against the
33 civil population. And, in this document, I think the important sections,
34 because----
35

36 So, what this is, p.81, all the way to p.86 is the translation to English of the
37 original article in German which starts at p.87 and the original article was
38 published in a magazine called 'Raum & Zeit' in 2009. This can be seen on
39 the bottom of p.87, and this, this document is extremely important because
40 everything that Carl Clark has described back in 2009 has happened to me in
41 the years 2011 to 2016 and I only knew about this document when it was
42 published by the Covert Harassment Conference.
43

1 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Now, where would you take me to----
2

3 DR. HORTON: What I would like to take the court to is the, starting p.82, and I
4 would like to read out a couple of sections because they are---
5

6 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I can read them. Normally, the way we do this, I can
7 read them for myself.
8

9 DR. HORTON: Forgive me. I wanted to highlight a couple of sections.
10

11 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes, by all means please highlight.
12

13 DR. HORTON: So p.82, I would like to highlight the sentence of Carl Clark, in his
14 first clause, the second sentence, he describes that he would force his way into
15 private homes.
16

17 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. As I read through this, and I can see he has
18 worked for various agencies, including, he says, Mossad.
19

20 DR. HORTON: That's right.
21

22 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Does he actually at any point say in which country any
23 of these activities took place?
24

25 DR. HORTON: Yes. He---- So he says, this is p.84, and he is asked specifically,
26 "Do you know in which countries individuals are under surveillance and are
27 being assaulted by energy weapons?" and he will say Germany, China, North
28 Korea, Russia, France and England.
29

30 ".... normally without the official knowledge of the particular
31 government."
32

33 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes, but he does not say what that is based on.
34

35 DR. HORTON: So I----
36

37 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: What I was looking for, for example, is----
38

39 DR. HORTON: His employment with----
40

41 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- if you go back to p.82 and the passage you showed
42 me----
43

1 DR. HORTON: Yes.
2

3 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- and also the top of p.83, how the weapons are
4 deployed, he describes what he understands the technique to be, he was not
5 personally involved in the use of such weapons, he says, others that he knew
6 were, but he does not actually say in which country that apparently was taking
7 place, does he?
8

9 DR. HORTON: I don't think here, but his particular details are listed on pp.79 and
10 80 and it is said that---- The biog is, I think, his CV is on p.80. A former
11 member of foreign covert intelligence services.
12

13 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. I appreciate that, but I do not think it helps me in
14 the way I have just asked you.
15

16 DR. HORTON: Oh sorry. So your Lordship is looking for precise evidence----
17

18 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: It may, for all I know, have been something that he
19 heard about in Country X, without----
20

21 DR. HORTON: No, I think what he's----
22

23 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- saying what Country X might have been.
24

25 DR. HORTON: So, at some point he, he says that he was present when people were
26 being irradiated----
27

28 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Does he?
29

30 DR. HORTON: -- and I assumed that to be Britain, but actually----
31

32 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: It is an assumption?
33

34 DR. HORTON: That's an assumption, but----
35

36 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Okay.
37

38 DR. HORTON: -- he, he, what he certainly says is that---- Oh here, at page----
39

40 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Right. So we have looked at tab----
41

42 DR. HORTON: Sorry. 84.
43

1 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: 84. I beg your pardon. Sorry.
2

3 DR. HORTON: That's right. At p.84, he says, and this sounds like it's his precise
4 knowledge:
5

6 "There are about 5,000 in England under surveillance and about 15,000
7 overseeing the operation."
8

9 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. What that means is not clear though, is it?
10

11 DR. HORTON: Yes. So I have tried to contact Carl Clark himself. So I've got an
12 email by him, email correspondence on pp.91 and 92.
13

14 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Also what matters is the source and basis upon which
15 someone puts forward that sort of allegation----
16

17 DR. HORTON: That's right, and my----
18

19 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- so that one can begin to understand whether it is
20 worth actually examining. So we have looked at tab 4. Tab 3, again, is not
21 specific to you, is it?
22

23 DR. HORTON: Well----
24

25 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: It is what one might call 'background information.'
26

27 DR. HORTON: That's right. But if----
28

29 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: What about tab 5? That is not your property, is it?
30 That is a diagram.
31

32 DR. HORTON: Sorry, this, this is a diagram. However, what----
33

34 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: And this, again, is generalised material, background.
35

36 DR. HORTON: Yes. The entire----
37

38 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: As I read through this, I may have missed something,
39 but it does not seem to be at all specific to your own situation.
40

41 DR. HORTON: What I, I'm trying to put to the court is that I have been attacked
42 with frequencies that now have been publicly identified as interfering with the

1 brain and constituting a terrorist act. This is what has affected me ever since I
2 appeared in front of Justice Edis.
3

4 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: And when did this start to happen?
5

6 DR. HORTON: That started in 2015, in Germany, and followed me to Switzerland.
7

8 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Right.
9

10 DR. HORTON: Meanwhile, I have been attacked in the UK, in November 2015. I
11 have been assaulted severely immediately after I appeared in front of Justice
12 Spencer, here in London, and----
13

14 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes, I have read that.
15

16 DR. HORTON: -- and, and so on. What----
17

18 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: When we are talking about assaults, we are talking
19 about the frequencies?
20

21 DR. HORTON: Yes, an actual high intense laser pulse.
22

23 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Not a physical assault by an individual hitting you,
24 but----
25

26 DR. HORTON: Not, not from a person.
27

28 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- we are talking about something we cannot see----
29

30 DR. HORTON: That's right.
31

32 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- the emission of frequencies.
33

34 DR. HORTON: Yes.
35

36 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. I understand.
37

38 DR. HORTON: So it's, it's almost akin to the Russian, Litvinenko, dying because
39 of the poisoning. No one assaulted him, but there was poison in his system.
40

41 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Well, let us keep it within compass, shall we?
42

43 DR. HORTON: So what----

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Right. I understand. So what you want me to do is to make an order---- I think I ought to ask Mr. Green, unless there is anything further?

DR. HORTON: I just, I just would like to say that, because of the attacks, what I'm actually asking the court is to be able to serve the evidence that pertains to me because that is evidence over four and a half years. It's a behemoth----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Before 2015?

DR. HORTON: Yes, yes. It started in 2011.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: 2011? And what started in 2011?

DR. HORTON: My harassment started in Oxford in----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I beg your----

DR. HORTON: My harassment started in 2011.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: What, with medical symptoms?

DR. HORTON: No, the medical symptoms were added later.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: When?

DR. HORTON: That was in 2015.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: '15. Right.

DR. HORTON: So what----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: And have you been to a doctor about it?

DR. HORTON: Yes, I have been to a doctor.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Any medical evidence from a doctor?

DR. HORTON: No, because, as Carl Clark says, the nature of the microwave attacks is such that----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Is he a doctor?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

DR. HORTON: Well, no, he's not a doctor. He's an agent----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: No.

DR. HORTON: But what I do have is also, which I would like to prepare, is also doctors saying that, yes, well, this cannot be, cannot be tested. There's no way to actually show---- What, what can be shown, however, is bruising. So what I do have is there's a CD alongside the documents which can be found----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Tab----

DR. HORTON: 4 or 3. Tab 3.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- 3. Yes.

DR. HORTON: And what is on the evidence, for example, I think it's page, this is p.42, a listing of the cases of, of victims, international victims, and this is in the Western world, so Germany----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: That is tab 12.

DR. HORTON: Sorry, yes, yes, there's further information at 12.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I beg your pardon. I am sorry.

DR. HORTON: This is about the CD which is referred to in tab 3.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes, tab 3.

DR. HORTON: And I'm talking about p.42, which lists the content of the CD, and the very first one is a case in Germany, so I include the report to the police, this is a known case of a man who has been irradiated for, I think, ten years, and he has also made public the photographs that he made of himself after such an attack which shows severe bruising.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Well, more than bruising. In that case, black burn rings.

DR. HORTON: As well, yes.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes.

1 DR. HORTON: And what, what the situation is that this was the standard ten years
2 ago and I also believe because the black burns, the circular burns, are so
3 egregious that I believe that, in his case, it was actually a medical experiment
4 done on him, but it is also clear from the nature of these, these weapons that
5 bruising does not have to be the consequence if the attackers don't choose it to
6 be.
7

8 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Well, that is what it says, yes.
9

10 DR. HORTON: And what I have in my, in the evidence that pertains to my case, is
11 photographs of bruising after I was attacked on the motorway in Germany.
12

13 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: And is that the sole symptom you can tell me about?
14

15 DR. HORTON: No, but I---- So, in terms of symptoms, what I have experienced is
16 bruising, number one. The second thing is burning on the skin, intense pain,
17 also muscle twitches all over the body, then also headaches, headaches that are
18 localised in an area of the head that is facing the window, burning to body
19 parts such that the burning transfers onto----
20

21 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: And this targeting, as I understand it from your papers,
22 does not just relate to something that happens in your home, it has happened in
23 the street as well?
24

25 DR. HORTON: It only started happening in the street when I sent a letter to the
26 German Federal Intelligence.
27

28 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Okay. Well, you have given me a very helpful
29 summary as to what is in the bundle and I am grateful to you. Can I just turn
30 to Mr. Green for a moment?
31

32 MR. GREEN: Thank you, my Lord.
33

34 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: How are you suggesting this should be dealt with
35 today. I think you have covered this in your skeleton, have you not?
36

37 MR. GREEN: Yes. There are two ways of dealing with it, my Lord.
38

39 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Paragraph 11 is one.
40

41 MR. GREEN: Yes. We have moved on slightly since that.
42

43 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Because of the IPT reaction?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

MR. GREEN: Yes. We have the IPT reaction which, as Dr. Horton concedes, essentially says it is a frivolous or vexatious case. Either this court could follow that ruling or deal with it as an abuse of process, given that the application to the IPT was exactly the same.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: There is an alternative remedy which has been explored and the outcome is there.

MR. GREEN: Yes. So, essentially, this is a second bite of the cherry, coming here and, as Dr. Horton quite rightly says----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: It could cut either way, because you could have a situation where somebody, a claimant, goes before the IPT, is successful and then the disappointed respondent comes to the High Court and tries to get a different result.

MR. GREEN: Exactly. And the boot would be on the other foot and that would be argued as an abuse of process, quite rightly, in the other direction.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Because there has to be finality to litigation. That is a general principle in pretty well all fields. So what order would you ask me to make then? I understand what Dr. Horton is asking for, but what would you ask me to do?

MR. GREEN: Well, I would ask you to refuse the application on the basis that this is an abuse of process.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes, and, at the moment, we have no claim, so there is nothing more to do.

MR. GREEN: You cannot strike out a claim that does not exist yet.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: It would be rather difficult. Has it been made clear to Dr. Horton that you would be asking for that today?

MR. GREEN: Yes. Dr. Horton is aware that we want this application----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: And under which provision would you be asking me to do this?

MR. GREEN: Well, my Lord, either under your general case management----

1 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: You talk about strike out. Refusal, just straightforward
2 refusal is another way of dealing with it.
3

4 MR. GREEN: No, I am not asking for a strike out.
5

6 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Sorry. I misheard you.
7

8 MR. GREEN: Yes. No, the strike out could be if we have a strike out of a claim, if
9 there were a claim----
10

11 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Strike out of an application. It is just straightforward
12 refusal.
13

14 MR. GREEN: Yes. I have never come across a strike out of an application.
15

16 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: It does not matter.
17

18 MR. GREEN: We are asking for refusal of the application, either, well, first of all,
19 on abuse of process principles, secondly, on the principle that it has already
20 been dealt with by the IPT as frivolous or vexatious----
21

22 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: But how do you formulate the abuse of process? The
23 IPT? It is the same point, is it not?
24

25 MR. GREEN: Yes. I am sorry. I was not clear. Either it is an abuse of process
26 because it has already been dealt with by the IPT or you follow the ruling of
27 the IPT, so that is a separate point, or, thirdly, you deal with it on its merits.
28

29 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: On the material which has so far been----
30

31 MR. GREEN: And we say there is nothing to show there is a serious question to be
32 asked because there is no substantive evidence. There is no medical evidence.
33

34 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: No. Because this bundle suddenly landed with me,
35 that is why I have been going through it carefully.
36

37 MR. GREEN: And, perhaps very significantly, Dr. Horton has not been able to
38 provide, even if you were to accept that she has been injured in some way, any
39 causal link between those injuries and the respondents in this particular case.
40 She, herself, has said it may be the German government, it may be the Swiss
41 government as well, but there is no evidence before you to show that she can
42 be linked to those particular respondents.
43

1 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Could you just look at tab 2 in the bundle prepared for
2 today? That is headed, in the index, "Sabotage of Preparations."
3
4 DR. HORTON: Yes.
5
6 MR. GREEN: Tab 2 of----
7
8 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: The bundle that has been served for today's hearing,
9 the one that arrived this morning.
10
11 MR. GREEN: My tab 2 is that.
12
13 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Exactly.
14
15 MR. GREEN: Yes.
16
17 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: So is there anything more you want to say before I ask
18 Dr. Horton about that?
19
20 MR. GREEN: No, sir.
21
22 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Okay. Thank you. Now, the index promises, under tab
23 2, that the court is going to be told about the sabotage of your preparation for
24 the hearing.
25
26 DR. HORTON: Yes.
27
28 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Am I missing something?
29
30 DR. HORTON: Yes. The court is missing all the, all the evidence that I couldn't
31 serve----
32
33 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: No, no, no.
34
35 DR. HORTON: -- and, in this case----
36
37 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I thought what the index was telling me was that there
38 would be evidence as to how your efforts to prepare for today have been
39 sabotaged in some way.
40
41 DR. HORTON: Yes. That's right.
42
43 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I do not think there is anything there, is there?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

DR. HORTON: There is, which is precisely the frequency bands that----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: That one reading we looked at together earlier on?

DR. HORTON: So this is one reading that has been printed out here.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. Okay.

DR. HORTON: It shows exactly the frequency band, so the 422 is the upper limit.
What I have then sent to the court is other readings which show----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes? Where do I see those, please?

DR. HORTON: Page 25, 26 and 27. Sorry, 27 and 29. And----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Are they dated at all?

DR. HORTON: Yes, they were taken---- They're dated, I can provide the court
with the actual JPEG file, they are dated on the day. It was the, hang on,
18th July 2016. I took these measurements-----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Were they all 18th July?

DR. HORTON: That's right, yes. They were on the day that I was due to serve and,
for example, on p.25, the big vertical bar in the chart shows the point 482 and
one can see that the frequency band----

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Sorry, which page is that? 25?

DR. HORTON: Page 25.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. Got it. Thank you.

DR. HORTON: And the entire frequency band is populated with frequencies that
constitute a terrorist act, according to the DARPA whistle-blower, because
these frequencies are specifically interfering with the human brain and that is
precisely what I found and there's evidence in this bundle where they explain
what the interference is and it is, can be paralysing fear, it can be lack of
concentration, it can be humans not even being able to hold a thought in their
brain because the frequencies interfere and I think it's---- So, so the actual, the
actual result is that one can paralyse a human being with these frequencies and
that is precisely what I observed, and this is why it's so important. So this is

1 the evidence that I've got about my personal situation. There are reams of
2 evidence about my personal situation which basically make up another bundle
3 like that. The reason why I did not serve it on the court is because the
4 indexing of all that material I couldn't actually complete because what I had to
5 do in the last six weeks is, essentially, research the classified military
6 operations, happened to come across whistle-blowers who happened to be
7 saying exactly what is going on and put this together for the court to be able to
8 understand. That's a behemoth task for someone who doesn't have legal
9 representation.
10

11 So what the situation is today is that, because of the sabotage that I have
12 managed to measure, I was, my preparation to appear in front of the court
13 today has been criminally sabotaged. Now, the respondents say----
14

15 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: You know, you are making some pretty sweeping
16 allegations----
17

18 DR. HORTON: Absolutely, I am.
19

20 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- and, generally speaking, one should be very cautious
21 about saying things of that nature without----
22

23 DR. HORTON: I have chosen----
24

25 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- having some basis for it and----
26

27 DR. HORTON: I have chosen my words carefully.
28

29 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: -- I have listened to quite a lot of that nature already.
30

31 DR. HORTON: I, I have chosen my words----
32

33 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: And I can tell you it does not impress me if you just
34 simply assert that there are criminal activities going on without a shred of
35 evidence to back it up.
36

37 DR. HORTON: My Lord, I do have the evidence. I don't---- I'm not in the habit
38 of saying anything that is not true.
39

40 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: No. Okay.
41

42 DR. HORTON: So----
43

1 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: What are you asking me to do then, to adjourn this?
2

3 DR. HORTON: What I'm asking is certainly to be able to provide the evidence,
4 otherwise the court will be making, because----
5

6 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: That means an adjournment.
7

8 DR. HORTON: Yes, another one.
9

10 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. Okay. Well, I think I have heard enough. Thank
11 you very much. I am going to----
12

13 DR. HORTON: Can I----
14

15 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Sorry.
16

17 DR. HORTON: Sorry. Just one last sentence. Could I possibly respond about the
18 actual procedural methods with the IPT that have been discussed with my
19 learned friend?
20

21 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: What would you like to say about the IPT?
22

23 DR. HORTON: What I would, what I would like to say is that what keeps being
24 referred to is that it's an abuse of process.
25

26 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes.
27

28 DR. HORTON: Now, I do, I do not accept that it is an abuse of process, simply
29 because----
30

31 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Right.
32

33 DR. HORTON: -- the IPT did not have access to the evidence. They have called
34 my case---- I have turned to them in desperation because I have been attacked.
35 They have, without investigation, without asking for further evidence or even
36 giving me the opportunity to serve any evidence, they have called it frivolous
37 and vexatious and that was just before I was assaulted in the UK and had a, an
38 assassination attempt. I do not in any way accept that it has been frivolous or
39 vexatious. So what has actually happened in my case is that neither court was
40 actually given the opportunity to look at my evidence in detail and I, if this
41 was struck out or declined or refused we have a situation whereby, because of
42 the procedural set-up, a human being cannot defend themselves against a

1 Government organisation. Because of sabotage, the case can always be just,
2 the respondent can actually be attacked and it can be struck out.
3

4 So I want the court to understand that for people who are in my situation, who
5 believe themselves to be attacked by the intelligence services, the only way
6 they usually have is to go this path, through the IPT and, because the IPT was
7 not able to protect me, I had to turn to this court.
8

9 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Well, you turned to this court before you even got an
10 answer from the IPT.
11

12 DR. HORTON: That's right, and in----
13

14 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. Let us be clear about it.
15

16 DR. HORTON: -- the end---- Yes, and, in the end, it turned out to be the, the right
17 thing to do because they would have declined anyway.
18

19 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: A bit pre-emptive. Right. Well, thank you very much
20 for your help.
21

22 (See separate transcript for judgment)
23

24 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Thank you very much for taking the trouble to explain
25 the material.
26

27 MR. GREEN: My Lord, just one thing in relation to what you said.
28

29 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes?
30

31 MR. GREEN: You might be able to correct it, just to avoid any----
32

33 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Unnecessary----
34

35 MR. GREEN: -- comeback or---- Yes. I think my memory was, unless Dr. Horton
36 says it is incorrect, that she did actually go and see a doctor, but that there just
37 is no medical evidence.
38

39 MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Yes. I stand corrected if I said that she had not been to
40 see a doctor if that was otherwise the case. The simple point is there is no
41 medical evidence before the court. That is the essential point.
42

43 MR. GREEN: Exactly. Thank you. I am grateful, my Lord.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: Thank you very much, both, for your help. Are you asking me to do anything more?

MR. GREEN: I am not.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: I hope it does not become necessary.

MR. GREEN: I am grateful.

MR. JUSTICE HOLGATE: This has taken a fair amount of court time. Okay.
