



Katherine Horton <contact@stop007.org>

The Tech Crime Fighters Breakup

6 messages

Thomas <tsquare0101@protonmail.com>
Reply-To: Thomas <tsquare0101@protonmail.com>
To: "Dr. Katherine Horton" <contact@stop007.org>

2 August 2018 at 19:52

Hi Katherine, Just wanted to tell you how disappointing it is to see the Tech Crime Forum split... The work that you Ramola and Karen have done is amazing but IN PARTICULAR THE WORK YOU HAVE DONE. Its too bad the forum couldn't have been held together for another 3 months at least to promote the global affidavit survey.

I wanted to give you my quick opinion on the conflict with Ramola. While I feel your work Katherine has been utterly astonishing, and you very successfully were the glue and driving force behind the forum and the work you did with the others on JIT. The one moderate point I would say I didn't agree with you on was talk of violence towards leaders in Intel and also the consistent use of profanity. I don't object from the point of view of ethical grounds or personal taste, so much, as these perps and perp leaders are scum but because I feel it actually hurts our cause to be calling for violence outside of calling for the death penalty in a court of law. I feel in this context its ok to call for lawful murder via a legal and unbiased court proceeding. And the profanity you often use is at times somewhat unprofessional and again I feel hurts our cause.

I want to make clear this MODERATE complaint is completely overshadowed by the absolutely A-M-A-Z-I-N-G work you've done and I feel that Ramola has over reacted to her concern about the same points. I don't know the details of her conflict with you but just from the face of it, it seems like an over reaction to me--as the points of agreement that you and her share vastly overshadow the points of difference. But there were others reasons too, as she wanted to open up time for other activities.

I am still 100% behind you and plan to continue to work with you however I can. Also, I have to say it is completely understandable given the extraordinary pressure, and tactics of psychological disorientation, you are living with that you would use profanity and talk of violence. I will also work with Ramola however I can and follow her journalism.

YOU ARE AN AMAZING BRILLIANT WOMAN and have inspired thousands of people around the world. If you need someone to talk with about these issues I am here to talk if you would like.

Thomas

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

Dr. Katherine Horton <contact@stop007.org>
To: Thomas <tsquare0101@protonmail.com>

2 August 2018 at 20:38

Hi Thomas,

Those are all valid points. Unfortunately, I couldn't give my explanation today. So after excluding me, Ramola was left to define the whole discussion. What this actually started with was that she wanted to cut out of Techno because she wanted to do spend more time with her own new journalistic ventures. She wanted to reduce the frequency of Techno to 2-3 times per month. I had suggested that if she cannot do it then I can host the show the 1-2 times per month she isn't around. Then she snapped at me that I was doing a power grab and it was egregious that I was suggesting that we can do the show without her after she has imprinted her "journalistic seal" on it. This was the real argument about the continuation of Techno. BIG FAT DIFFERENCE. And one that I could have put right if I had been allowed to talk. So guess why I was barred by Ramola.

Regarding the two accusations that were leveled at me today. And given that you found them problematic too, please let me answer in detail since I couldn't in the public forum:

The swearing:

1. It can be unprofessional in America, in Europe it is not that big a deal by far.
2. I get lots of emails from viewers when I go off on a swearsy rant because people are amused and energized by it and hit helps them out of learned helplessness, which they slip into because of the attacks. Hearing me be aggressive and offensive helps them take courage and become disrespectful towards a system that has been set up by criminals and that enslaves them. It's required because the system is awe-inducing in many ways.
3. It is my style and I have always been like that even in physics. The show was about free speech and everyone being the way they are to represent the diversity in the population. That is why I wanted to continue to be the way I am. Also many successful shows like "Have I Got News For You" in the UK use that contrast between the panellist to highlight each person's different personality. Instead of the others being devalued by it,

they are instead raised by the contrast. No one confuses who is who as much as one wouldn't confuse who is who when talking to a group in the street.

The inciting violence:

1. I took pains to point to the US Code where the death penalty is not just lawful, there is a reason why it is there. If people are not given the death penalty for premeditated mass murder of their own people then what is it there for?
2. I also always emphasised that asking for the death penalty in court or in military tribunals is an opening position in a negotiation. If you don't ask for death but start with life in prison, people will NOT get life in prison because their lawyers will negotiate it down.
3. During this argument, I have asked all three of them repeatedly to point me to the passage where I was inciting random violence. Given that it was a grave accusation I thought that providing evidence would be a reasonable request, not least because they all claimed to remember the passage distinctly and I would have had to watch 10 episodes amounting to 30 hours of viewing time. I was willing to issue a public correction and apology once I was pointed to the passages. But none of them ever provided the proof.

But all of the above points could have been discussed publicly and it would have been a worthwhile discussion in my view that could have been interesting in itself.

Ultimately, what this is really about for me today is that it had started as a discussion between Ramola and me about me hosting the forum when she couldn't. To then decide to TERMINATE the show on the spot, not tell me, and use the final broadcast to scapegoat me publicly without me having the opportunity to respond was disgusting. It was also unethical and unfair based on how hard I had worked behind the scenes to help each of them.

This was not Ramola's show, it was Paul Marko's and Mindy's show and I had as much a right to appear on the final episode as each one of them, ESPECIALLY when all three were levelling accusations at me. Like this, they imprinted their parting image and I could not defend or explain myself. But instead, they ended the widely viewed show with a public smear of myself. And Ramola used the fact that she was hosting the show to actively exclude me without telling me. And what really hurt me is the visible cheer with which it was done by Ramola. If you listen carefully with a fifth sense, you can hear Ramola enjoying this because she feels that she has triumphed.

After all I have worked for the last year to build this show. This is disgusting behaviour in the extreme. And this is the complete opposite of what we stood for with Techno.

For this reason, I am not sad that it has ended. And that in itself makes me sad.

Katherine

[Quoted text hidden]

Thomas <tsquare0101@protonmail.com>
Reply-To: Thomas <tsquare0101@protonmail.com>
To: "Dr. Katherine Horton" <contact@stop007.org>

2 August 2018 at 22:22

Hi Katherine,

The behavior you describe on Ramola's part sounds so out of character for her--I mean just based on my getting to know her through her online broadcasts. Very very strange... Do you think Ramola and the others are being hit with something that's impairing their judgement? Their behavior just seems like a MASSIVE over reaction... Maybe Ramola will come to her senses in a few days and/or realize her judgement was being covertly influenced. I mean your development of the global affidavit template has been the crowning achievement of the JIT and the Tech Crime Forum and potentially a death blow to the scum operating this program. Why would they cut ties with you right now at this critical moment of momentum, for you, all of them, and all TIs, as you all are starting to roll out the affidavit template? None of this makes sense for them to be doing unless they are being hit with some kind of very subtle covert influence to do so...

Regarding the swearing, that's not such a big deal and I do agree that it does rally people's motivation to an extent. And you're right that you usually do make a point to frame all references to "taking out" the scum running these programs via legal court means. There are times however when you do reference "taking out" these scumbags without a clear reference to the method of a legal court process. As I've said before this moderate oversight on your part is vastly overshadowed by all the A-M-A-Z-I-N-G work you've done and you're operating under utterly insane circumstances that will at the very least impair your judgement or word phrasing at times...

YOU are the driving force behind the Tech Crime Forum, Ramola is a very strong adjunct persona and important as host, Karen contributes significantly and Millicent isn't needed at all really. YOU could carry the show on your own, I mean as it was you were the main force behind it, and I think you should if you're up for it. I mean you're getting between 100-140 live viewers every week--that's pretty impressive. Maybe you could have guest hosts every week with you until you find permanent co-hosts.

This to me is a set-back, as I saw the partnership of you, Ramola and Karen as being a very strong unified force of extremely smart, articulate and credible TIs. Maybe Ramola and Karen will come to their senses in the coming days? If not you can carry the show on your own and find new JIT partners.

You are adored my me and thousands of TIs around the world, even if most of them are too broke to support you with \$, WE are with YOU irregardless of what Ramola and Karen do...

Thomas

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

----- Original Message -----

[Quoted text hidden]

Dr. Katherine Horton <contact@stop007.org>
To: Thomas <tsquare0101@protonmail.com>

2 August 2018 at 23:26

I think you put your pulse right on the issue, Thomas. The real truth is that I think that we were all being hit by a massive neurotech assault to break us all up. What nobody even on my team knows is that yesterday I met someone very very important and big and this is a direct retaliation because the meeting was fantastic yesterday.

Nonetheless, I do take in all criticism and you put it very elegantly and kindly and I will take it fully to heart. There is always a better way of doing things and now is the time to aim for that.

Regarding this incident today it was so spectacular, I think we were hit by something big. I think by meeting a big fish yesterday, I started being noticed by big sharks and they just swatted me with their fin.

Oh, well, time to build a big fat harpoon, hey? I'll need all your help.

More soon,

Katherine

[Quoted text hidden]

Thomas <tsquare0101@protonmail.com>
Reply-To: Thomas <tsquare0101@protonmail.com>
To: "Dr. Katherine Horton" <contact@stop007.org>

3 August 2018 at 00:01

You're probably very aware of how subtle the covert influencing technologies can be but let me describe an attack on me that happened just the other day. I was taking a break from writing and preparing food, then I noticed a very subtle feeling of dread that I at first took serious and gave meaning to. Then I recognized the feeling of dread as the same one that was used on me in an overt manner previously, that I did recognize as being foreign to my own thinking, and clearly a covert energy weapon then and when it was used on me thereafter. But this time they were using it on me in a very VERY subtle degree that I had been taking seriously for at least a few minutes--maybe much longer than that in a way I wasn't even aware of...

THIS kind of subtle influencing could be happening to Ramola and Karen whereby their moderate points of difference with you become grossly exaggerated in their minds via these very subtle covert mind influencing technologies. If you think hearing this explanation would help Ramola and Karen come to their senses please forward it to them.

Stay strong,
Thomas

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

----- Original Message -----

[Quoted text hidden]

Dr. Katherine Horton <contact@stop007.org>
To: Thomas <tsquare0101@protonmail.com>

3 August 2018 at 01:52

I have just seen this now. This is a very good description, actually.

I will get it to them when things have settled down a bit.

Katherine

[Quoted text hidden]