



Clarification

Dr. Katherine Horton <contact@stop007.org>

4 December 2018 at 11:54

To: Frederic Laroche <[REDACTED]>

Frederic,

That's it. I am withdrawing from your case for the following 3 reasons:

1. You lied to Ramola about me sabotaging your case, despite the fact that you decided to sack your lawyer on 8th June and told me that you got a new lawyer on 12th June, which was 1 day before the hearing. Through your lying, you caused huge damage to my reputation because Ramola, malicious as she is, spread your lies gladly to Melanie. As you observed yourself, it made Ramola happy to hear your ludicrous claim that I had sabotaged your case. See your email below.

2. After emailing me to confess to your lies, you then immediately called Ramola again and lied once more. This I realised yesterday as Ramola published her side of the story. In her video published on 2 December 2018 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NEGyWI5tTLU>), and referring to my published statements about what you had told me she is saying:

"Apparently, the next day she speaks with Frederic Laroche who confirms that the claims that Dr. Horton sabotaged his court case are not true and gets in touch with Ramola. [Giggles] Ok. So I know what happened over here. And I'm going to publish a little bit of text from Frederic, ok? To prove this and set this to rest once and for all. And I told Frederic this. [Laughs] So what Frederic did was when Katherine went and spoke to him, he promptly, because he had asked her to be an expert witness, wanted to stay on the right side of her, and he promptly said to her 'No no no no, I you know no I never said that. And you know it's Ramola, she says you're an agent, and you sabotage things all the time.' So that's what Frederic told me. Ok, that's what he said to her. Then she comes up with this story. I mean this is so bizarre and ridiculous. It's like high school. So then she says: 'Oh, Frederic says it's all Ramola. And then she gets a complaint from you complaining about me. So now she is building a case here for what a monster that I am. Frederic is complaining about me. You [Melanie] are complaining about me.'" (1:59:00 following)

This double lie from you was entirely wilfully and came after you had just pretended to show remorse to me about your lying: "It is all my fault." as you said in your email below.

3. After you have been found out by me last night, you refused to show up to this meeting to clarify matters. We arranged this meeting last night and you cancelled 15min before the meeting this morning pretending it was a dispute between me and Ramola, thereby showing me that you are not capable of taking responsibility for your own lies and what consequences they have.

After I have worked for you on an pro bono advance basis for 1.5 years and just travelled with Gerhard Ulrich to Grenoble to support your case, this is utterly beyond the pale.

I am herewith withdrawing from your court case and shall do no further work for you. I am going to publish all of your statements necessary to clear my name and protect myself from any further damage to my reputation as a result of your lies.

Good-bye,

Katherine Horton

On Mon, 15 Oct 2018 at 09:10, Frederic Laroche <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi.

Ok, I am sorry for what I said to Ramola, but I felt like I had to present the things that way to better comfort her views because she seemed very upset that I was still in contact with you.

So I tried to underplay the fact that I was still in contact with you by stating that you did not come to Grenoble in my previous hearing, and I made that up just like that to better please Ramola's views, that it could have been a kind of sabotage from you in the end (and effectively she seemed to be happy that I could present the things that way, so I felt happy to make her happy, but without imagining there could be any consequences by making use of my sentence just like that).

But I was not thinking there would be consequences like that with exchanging my text with others without my permission, and I will ask Ramola why is she trying to break up people against each other, because I also told Ramola that I have come to know about the problem between you and Ramola, but I told her that I preferred to not interfere with it and not to take position about it.

So this is my last stance.

Sorry again about what happened.

It is all my fault.

All that because I did not chat with Ramola since a long time and I wanted to please her by giving her my news and also by going a little bit in her direction about supporting her like a friend, but without wanting to involve me in either side of the conflict, because I want only peace between you guys and that's all.

So I withdraw now and here my own previous statement that I did only once and in private and only to Ramola only and for the reasons that I explained to you here, that you might have done some kind of sabotage of my previous hearing by not showing up there.

Let's say that I kind of lied awkwardly to Ramola to make her feel good against you but without really thinking just what I meant and I did not say that for a personal revenge from me against you because I have nothing to reproach you regarding me but I just improvised that thing to show Ramola that my friendship with you was not meaning that I could be an agent too since

Ramola and her friends (like ahmad enani who stated to me a few weeks ago on skype that I behaved like an agent to him) seem to see agents anywhere so I wanted to escape myself from that kind of trap of theirs also.

Because anyway, and as you say, my hearing was to be shifted since my lawyer had been sacked 3 days before, so your absence was probably having no consequences for the pursuing or not of that hearing.

Thanks for your understanding.

I am not very good at social relationships between different people, and especially when there is a conflict going on, which I always dislike because I don't understand it well (and it makes me at unease because it reminds me the fights between my parents which I always tried to settle down by pleasing both sides separately in their own each way).

Please don't take personal offence about what happened and how it happened.

Let's keep our good friendship intact.

I am sincerely at your side, but I cannot ignore the friendship of Ramola neither.

Try to understand me.

And please guys don't try to make me break with either sides of both of you, because this is not what I want, but I will never say again things that could be a negative presentation of the other one just to comfort the other one's views, I promise, and I will be careful about that from now.

We don't need to be bothered by these kind of non-sense.

Let's work to our court case now, and let's forget the rest.

And please don't repeat my text here to anyone, like Ramola just did.

Just try to counter Ramola's actions by others means, that's all I am asking to you.

Thanks.

Frederic.

De : Dr. Katherine Horton <contact@stop007.org>

Envoyé : lundi 15 octobre 2018 00:55

À : Frederic Laroche <[REDACTED]>

Objet : Clarification

Hi Frederic,

Please could you help me clarify the situation? Today, I have received a message from Ramola via Melanie that you were claiming that I had sabotaged your last court case.

The background to this is that Ramola has been running a 2.5 month campaign of malicious slander against me after the breakup of the Techno Forum, where she was trying to tell Melanie that I am an agent and that she should stop working with me. She kept messaging Melanie throughout the scanning as I was there in Brussels. Ramola also tried to smear me towards Alfred Webre, and has published several videos and articles about me. So I just would like to understand what's going on with this newest accusation.

So, Melanie told me tonight that Ramola had contacted her and told her that you had claimed that I had sabotaged your first court case. That is not how I remember things. I had travelled back from Hungary under heavy assault specifically to attend your court case. But I also remember that back then, you had severe problems with your first lawyer and you were asking me if you should sack him, which you then did 3 days before the court hearing. And then you got a new lawyer who shifted the date for you. What I remember is that the point of shifting the court date was that with more time, you could have more information about the body implants (which you now have by having published the scans of your mother and yourself) and that we were hoping to get the scans with the Brussels university done by that time. And I was also hoping that the Siegfried Tomys case would have some decision by then.

Please correct me if I am wrong and please tell me how I have sabotaged your first court case?

As I said, it might also be that this is Ramola claiming it and not you, because I had a lot of malicious action from her. But if that is the case, then I would like to put a stop to Ramola's vicious actions too.

Thanks,

Katherine